## **Processed Foods and TTIP**

At a Glance: Assuming the implementation of an ambitious Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, US processed foods exports to the EU will grow by \$5.3 billion, a rise of almost 102 percent over 2012 levels.


Industry Overview: The US processed foods sector includes meat, dairy, and seafood products, milled grains, confections, preserves and specialty foods, baked goods, as well as beverages and animal feeds. At \$840 billion, processed foods accounted for 5.4 percent of total US GDP and 14.7 percent of US manufacturing shipments in 2012.

## **US Exports of Processed Foods to EU** (\$ billion)

# \$5.3 \$5.2 \$4.5 \$3.8 2009 2010 2011 2012

Source: US Census

## **EU Share of US Processed Foods Exports, 2012**



Source: US Census

US Processed Foods Exports to the EU: In 2012, the United States exported \$5.2 billion worth of processed foods to the EU. Beverages, in particular wine and liquor, and milled grain products each accounted for about 25 percent of US processed food exports to the EU. Top US states exporting to the EU include California, Louisiana, Tennessee, Florida, and Texas.

A little over 7 percent of US processed foods exports went to the EU in 2012, but the EU market is significantly more important for some states: 30 percent of Tennessee's exports and 26 percent of South Carolina's exports went to the EU in 2012.

Current Barriers to Transatlantic Trade: US processed foods exports face EU tariffs averaging 14.6 percent. In addition, significant non-tariff measures (NTMs) increase costs for US exporters to the EU market. The estimated total cost of the NTMs is the equivalent of a 56.8 percent tariff on US processed foods exports.

Estimated Export Gains from TTIP: Implementation of an ambitious TTIP by the year 2027 is expected to increase US processed foods exports to the EU by \$5.3 billion, a rise of 102 percent over 2012 levels. The majority of these gains would result from tariff reductions, although the gains from reducing NTMs are also significant.





#### Methodology

This study depicts the estimated economic impact of the implementation of an ambitious Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) on US exports and employment for a series of fourteen key economic sectors. The estimated changes in exports to the EU are based on the Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR) report Reducing Transatlantic Barriers to Trade and Investment: An Economic Assessment, The Trade Partnership's CDxports database, and the approach used by Ecorys to quantify non-tariff measures. According to the CEPR study, an agreement would increase US GDP by up to €95 billion, or \$125 billion at current exchange rates, under its ambitious scenario.

The CEPR study defines an ambitious agreement as: 1) a 100 percent reduction in tariffs, 2) a 25 percent reduction in the costs resulting from non-tariff measures such as regulatory barriers affecting goods and services, and 3) a 50 percent reduction in procurement non-tariff barriers. CEPR's study estimates reflect the full implementation of an ambitious TTIP agreement by the year 2027. The results are based on an estimated change derived from TTIP compared to a 2027 baseline year without the TTIP in place. Our figures for exports and job creation take into account the countervailing forces of increased imports to the US from the EU.

Employment impacts were derived under the same TTIP liberalization scenarios as in the CEPR report. However, while the core CEPR assessment is a long-run assessment based on an assumption of full employment, Moody's forecasts include continued soft labor markets in 2027, with unemployment above long run levels. We therefore conducted an alternative measure of the potential impact of TTIP on employment reflecting an economy that is not at full employment in 2027. This involved assumptions of both increased labor demand and increased wages. Resulting national impacts by industry were distributed to the state level based on Moody's baseline state and sector level labor force projections.

It is important to note that the largest positive effects of the TTIP arise from the agreement's indirect impacts on US spending and investment. For example, due to the lower costs of imports, companies and consumers have additional resources available to spend on other goods and services, and that spending is itself job supporting. According to European Commission estimates, TTIP increases disposable income by approximately \$865 annually for an average American family of four.<sup>1</sup>

#### Dataset used in this study

The study was conducted using export data for goods and services from The Trade Partnership's *CDxports* database. *CDxports* refines US government export data to estimate merchandise exports to more than 230 countries from individual US states and Congressional districts. It details exports for 104 sectors for 2002 through 2012. This analysis uses figures for goods exports to the EU<sup>2</sup> and services exports to the EU<sup>3</sup>, based on the most recent data available at the time of publishing.

### **Terminology**

The terminology used to describe current US exports to the EU in this study is based on the Census Bureau's North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The CEPR study, by contrast, uses a more broadly defined classification of industry categories based on those in the CEPR study. The two classification systems are interoperable. For instance, logging, fishing, support activities for crop production, animal production, and forestry fall within the right-hand classification "Ag., Forestry, Fisheries." Services agglomerations include the following: Business services (legal, accounting, architecture, engineering, management consulting, etc.), personal services (arts, entertainment, lodging, food services, maintenance and repair, beauty services, etc.), and other services (utilities, wholesale and retail sales, domestic transportation, education, health care, etc.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://ec.europa.eu/trade/policy/in-focus/ttip/questions-and-answers/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on 2012 data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Based on 2011 data, the most recent available at the time of publishing